1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 RONALD WILSON,

11

12

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

25 26

Plaintiff,

V.

PIER 1 IMPORTS (US), INC; and MELLON/PIER 1 PROPERTIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP I,

Defendants.

STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NO. CIV. S-04-633 LKK/CMK

A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference was held in Chambers on July 15, 2005. SCOTT HUBBARD appeared as counsel for plaintiff; ROLAND JUAREZ and CHRISTINE SAMSEL appeared as counsel for defendants. All dates heretofore set are VACATED and the following dates are SET:

MOTION HEARING SCHEDULES

Based on the court's reopening of law and motion, plaintiff's motion for summary judgment is dropped from calendar, to be refiled in its entirety or re-noticed for hearing prior to the close of law

and motion herein established.

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All law and motion except as to discovery is left open, save and except that it shall be conducted so as to be completed by December 15, 2005. The word "completed" in this context means that all law and motion matters must be heard by the above date. Because this date is not necessarily a date previously set aside for law and motion hearings, it is incumbent upon counsel to contact this court's courtroom deputy, Ana Rivas at (916) 930-4133, sufficiently in advance so as to ascertain the dates upon which law and motion will be heard and to properly notice its motion for hearing before that date. Counsel are cautioned to refer to Local Rule 78-230 regarding the requirements for noticing such motions on the court's regularly scheduled law and motion calendar. Opposition or statement of non-opposition to all motions shall be filed not later than 4:30 p.m. fourteen (14) days preceding the hearing date, or by proof of service by mail not less than seventeen (17) days preceding the hearing date. This paragraph does not preclude motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders or other emergency applications, and is subject to any special scheduling set forth in the "MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS" paragraph below.

At the time of filing a motion, opposition, or reply, counsel are directed to email a copy in word processing format to the Judge's Assistant, Tim Hinkle, at thinkle@caed.uscourts.gov.

The parties should keep in mind that the purpose of law and motion is to narrow and refine the legal issues raised by the case,

and to dispose of by pretrial motion those issues that are susceptible to resolution without trial. To accomplish that purpose, the parties need to identify and fully research the issues presented by the case, and then examine those issues in light of the evidence gleaned through discovery. If it appears to counsel after examining the legal issues and facts that an issue can be resolved by pretrial motion, counsel are to file the appropriate motion by the law and motion cutoff set forth supra.

ALL PURELY LEGAL ISSUES ARE TO BE RESOLVED BY TIMELY PRETRIAL MOTION AND A FAILURE TO MAKE SUCH A MOTION WILL ORDINARILY BE VIEWED AS A WAIVER AT THE TIME OF PRETRIAL. COUNSEL ARE CAUTIONED THAT IF ANY LEGAL ISSUE THAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN TENDERED TO THE COURT BY PRETRIAL MOTION MUST BE RESOLVED BY THE COURT AFTER LAW AND MOTION CUTOFF, FOR INSTANCE WHERE THE ISSUE IS JURISDICTION, SUBSTANTIAL SANCTIONS WILL BE LEVIED AGAINST COUNSEL WHO FAIL TO TIMELY FILE AN APPROPRIATE MOTION.

Counsel are further reminded that motions in limine are procedural devices designed to address the admissibility of COUNSEL ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE COURT WILL LOOK WITH evidence. DISFAVOR UPON SUBSTANTIVE MOTIONS PRESENTED IN THE GUISE OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL.

DISCOVERY

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

No modifications of the discovery requirements found in the 24 Federal Rules is ordered.

All discovery is left open, save and except that it shall be so conducted as to be completed by October 15, 2005. The word

"completed" means that all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been complied with. Motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the magistrate judge's calendar in accordance with the local rules of this court and so that such motions will be heard not later than September 15, 2005. All experts witnesses have been previously designated.

FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The Final Pretrial Conference is **SET** for March 13, 2006, at 1:30 p.m. Counsel are cautioned that counsel appearing for Pretrial will in fact try the matter.

Counsel for all parties are to be fully prepared for trial at the time of the Pretrial Conference, with no matters remaining to be accomplished except production of witnesses for oral testimony. Counsel are referred to Local Rules 40-280 and 16-281 relating to the contents of and time for filing Pretrial Statements. In addition to those subjects listed in Local Rule 16-281(b), the parties are to provide the court with a plain, concise statement which identifies every non-discovery motion tendered to the court, and its resolution. A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULES 40-280 AND 16-281 WILL BE GROUNDS FOR SANCTIONS.

The parties shall file Separate Pretrial Statements, the contents and timing of which are set forth in Local Rule 16-281, except that the parties are to prepare a <u>JOINT STATEMENT</u> with

respect to the undisputed facts and disputed factual issues of the case. See Local Rule 16-281(b)(3), (4), and (6). The parties are reminded to include in their joint statement all disputed and undisputed special factual information as required by Local Rule 16-281(b)(6).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

The undisputed facts and disputed factual issues are to be set forth in two separate sections. In each section, the parties should identify first the general facts relevant to all causes of action. After identifying the general facts, the parties should then identify those facts which are relevant to each separate cause In this regard, the parties are to number each of action. individual fact or factual issue. Where the parties are unable to agree as to what factual issues are properly before the court for trial, they should nevertheless list in the section on "DISPUTED FACTUAL ISSUES" all issues asserted by any of the parties and explain by parenthetical the controversy concerning each issue. Each individual disputed fact or factual issue shall include the following introductory language: "Whether or not" The parties should keep in mind that, in general, each fact should relate or correspond to an element of the relevant cause of action. Notwithstanding the provisions of Local Rule 16-281, the Joint Statement of Undisputed Facts and Disputed Factual Issues is to be filed with the court concurrently with the filing of plaintiff's Pretrial Statement. If the case is tried to a jury, the undisputed facts will be read to the jury.

Pursuant to Local Rule 16-281(b)(10) and (11), the parties are

required to provide in their Pretrial Statements a list of witnesses and exhibits that they propose to proffer at trial, no matter for what purpose. These lists shall not be contained in the Pretrial Statement itself, but shall be attached as separate documents to be used as addenda to the Final Pretrial Order. Plaintiff's exhibits shall be listed numerically; defendant's exhibits shall be listed alphabetically. In the event that the alphabet is exhausted, defendant's exhibits shall be marked "2A-2Z, 3A-3Z, etc." The Pretrial Order will contain a stringent standard for the proffering of witnesses and exhibits at trial not listed in the Pretrial Order. Counsel are cautioned that the standard will be strictly applied. On the other hand, the listing of exhibits or witnesses which counsel do not intend to call or use will be viewed as an abuse of the court's processes.

Pursuant to Local Rule 16-281(b)(12), a party is required to provide a list of all answers to interrogatories and responses to requests for admission that the party expects to offer at trial. This list should include only those documents or portions thereof which the party expects to offer in its case-in-chief. Unless otherwise barred by a rule of evidence or order of this court, the parties remain free to tender appropriate discovery documents during trial for such purposes as, but not limited to, impeachment or memory refreshment.

Pursuant to Local Rule 16-281(b)(8), the parties' Pretrial Statements shall contain a "statement of legal theory, etc." Each party shall commence this section by specifying as to each claim

whether federal or state law governs, and if state law, the state whose law is applicable.

Counsel are also reminded that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, it will be their duty at the Pretrial Conference to aid the court in (a) formulation and simplification of issues and the elimination of frivolous claims or defenses; (b) settling of facts which should be properly admitted; and (c) the avoidance of unnecessary proof and cumulative evidence. Counsel must prepare their Pretrial Statements, and participate in good faith at the Pretrial Conference, with these aims in mind. A FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION of SANCTIONS which may include monetary sanctions, orders precluding proof, eliminations of claims or defenses, or such other sanctions as the court deems appropriate.

TRIAL SETTING

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

Trial is SET for June 13, 2006, at 10:30 a.m. Trial will be 17 **I** by the court sitting without jury.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), the Status (pretrial scheduling) Order shall not be modified except by leave of court upon a showing of good cause. Counsel are cautioned that changes to any of the scheduled dates will necessarily result in changes to all other dates. Thus, even where good cause has been shown, the court will not grant a request to change the discovery cutoff date without modifying the pretrial and trial dates.

Case 2:04-cv-00633-LKK-CMK Document 61 Filed 07/28/05 Page 8 of 8

Agreement by the parties pursuant to stipulation does not 1 constitute good cause. Nor does the unavailability of witnesses or counsel, except in extraordinary circumstances, constitute good 3 cause. 4 The parties are reminded of their continuing obligation to 5 supplement their statements relative to the identification of 6 7 parent corporations and any publicly held company that owns 10% or more of the party's stock within a reasonable time of any change 8 in the information. 9 The parties are admonished that they are not to cite or refer 10 to any of the quotations inscribed in the pavers on the front plaza 11 of the United States Courthouse in any written or oral presentation 12 13 to the court or a jury. There appear to be no other matters presently pending before 14 15 the court that will aid the just and expeditious disposition of 16 this matter. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 27, 2005. 18 19 /s/Lawrence K. Karlton LAWRENCE K. KARLTON 20 SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 21 22 23

24

25

26